

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,477	10/23/2000	Gilbert Moineau	SWA-003 US	8162
7590 03/15/2006 Piper Marbury Rudnick & Wolfe 1200 Nineteenth Street NW			EXAMINER	
			BATES, KEVIN T	
Washington, De			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/830,477	MOINEAU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Bates	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re b. criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become AB/	ATION. ply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 December 2005.					
·_ ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-19,21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-19, and 21-22</u> is/are reject	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9, 11-19, and 21-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	··— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		·				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		racaivad				
See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies flot	eceiveu.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948))/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2155

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on December 23, 2005.

Claims 1, 4, and 13 have been amended.

Claims 10 and 20 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-9, 11-19, and 21-22 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 11-19, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhatia (6023724) in view of Allard (5729689).

Regarding claim 1, Bhatia teaches a network modern device connecting a Local Area Network (LAN) to a remote network (Column 4, lines 36 – 39), comprising: a local store containing a list of host names and attribute data (Column 6, lines 1 – 8): a Domain Name Service (DNS) relay module (Column 6, lines 15 – 18); and a router having a LAN interface connected to said LAN (Figure 1, element 340; Column 14, lines 19 – 20), a local connection to said DNS relay module and a network connection, to said remote network (Column 4, lines 45 – 47; Column 6, lines 11 – 14), wherein said

Art Unit: 2155

DNS relay module uses said list and said attribute data to respond to requests, received from said LAN via said muter on said local connection (Column 6, lines 1 – 8), for a numeric address in response to a domain name when said domain name requested is on said list (Column 6, lines 15 – 18), and said DNS relay module generates a DNS request and transmits said DNS request to an external DNS on said remote network via said local connection to said router, and said DNS relay module returning a reply from said external DNS to said LAN via said local connection to said router to respond to said request for a numeric address when said domain name requested is not on said list (Column 6, lines 15 – 29).

Bhatia does not explicitly indicate a list of domain names looked up on an external DNS corresponding attribute data and that the DNS relay module uses said list and attribute data without connecting to said external DNS when resolving said domain name.

Allard teaches a network device that connects a LAN and remote network which includes a proxy name cache (Figure 2, elements 14 and 54). The network device includes a DNX Proxy name cache that maintains a list of domain names looked up on an external DNS corresponding attribute data and uses said list and attribute data without connecting to said external DNS when resolving said domain name (Column 16, lines 60 – Column 7, line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Allard's teaching in Bhatia's system in order to allow Bhatia's

Art Unit: 2155

system to allow the system to reduce the number of times the network device needs to query the name server (Column 8, lines 41 – 49).

Regarding claim 2, Bhatia teaches a network modem device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said attribute data is an IP address (Column 6, lines 4 - 5).

Regarding claim 3, Bhatia teaches a network modem device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said attribute data identifies a domain or host name as a local station on said LAN and said, DNS relay module, when said domain or host name is identified as a local station on said LAN, replies locally to said request (Column 6, lines 1 – 18).

Regarding claim 4, Bhatia teaches a network modem device as claimed in claim 1, wherein said remote network connection is a connection to at least one ISDN channel (Column 4, lines 36 – 39).

Regarding claim 5, Bhatia teaches a network modem device as claimed in claim 4, wherein said router is connected to two ISDN channels: one for the intranet and one for the Internet (Column 5, line 64 – Column 6, line 1).

Regarding claim 6, Bhatia teaches a network modem device as claimed in claim 3, wherein said DNS relay module listens to NetBIOS Over IP packets of information on said LAN, extracts local computer names and associated IP addresses from said packets and adds said computer names and associated IP addresses to said list of domain names (Column 4, lines 56 – 61).

Regarding claim 8, Bhatia teaches the device according to claim 1, wherein said device is a digital network modem (Column 4, lines 36 – 39).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 9, Bhatia teaches the device according to claim 8, wherein said device is an ISDN modem (Column 4, lines 36 – 39).

Regarding claim 13, Bhatia teaches a method for relaying DNS requests on a LAN connected through a router to a remote network by a network modern device (Column 4, lines 36 – 39), comprising: a Domain Name Service (DNS) relay module (Column 6, lines 11 – 14) receiving a domain name request via said router having a LAN interface connected to said LAN (Figure 1, element 340; Column 14, lines 19 – 20), a local connection to said DNS relay module and a network connection to said remote network, on said local connection, for a numeric address in response to a domain name (Column 6, lines 1 – 18); said DNS relay module using a local store containing a list of domain or host names and attribute data to respond to said request when said domain name requested is on said list (Column 6, line 1 – 8), wherein said list comprises a list of host names declared on said LAN with corresponding attributed data (Column 6, lines 1 – 8); and said DNS relay module generating a DNS request and transmitting said DNS request to an external DNS on said remote network via said local connection to said router, and said DNS relay module returning a reply from said external DNS to said LAN via sold local connection to said router to respond to said request for a numeric address when said domain name requested is not on said list (Column 6, lines 15 – 29).

Bhatia does not explicitly indicate a list of domain names looked up on an external DNS corresponding attribute data and that the DNS relay module uses said list and attribute data without connecting to said external DNS when resolving said domain name.

Art Unit: 2155

Allard teaches a network device that connects a LAN and remote network which includes a proxy name cache (Figure 2, elements 14 and 54). The network device includes a DNS Proxy name cache that maintains a list of domain names looked up on an external DNS corresponding attribute data and uses said list and attribute data without connecting to said external DNS when resolving said domain name (Column 16, lines 60 – Column 7, line 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Allard's teaching in Bhatia's system in order to allow Bhatia's system to allow the system to reduce the number of times the network device needs to query the name server (Column 8, lines 41 - 49).

Regarding claim 14, Bhatia teaches a method as claimed in claim 13, wherein said attribute data identifies a domain name as a domain name for a device on said LAN (Column 6, lines 15 – 18).

Regarding claim 15, Bhatia teaches a method as claimed in claim 13, wherein said generating comprises requesting a numeric address on said external DNS and responding to said request with a numeric address corresponding to said domain or host name (Column 6, lines 4 - 29).

Regarding claim 16, Bhatia teaches a method as claimed in claim 13, wherein said attribute data is an IP address (Column 6, lines 4 - 5).

Regarding claim 17, Bhatia teaches a method as claimed in claim 14, wherein steps of listening to NetBIOS Over IP packets of information, extracting local computer

Art Unit: 2155

names and IP addresses from said packets and adding said computer names and IP addresses to said list of domain names (Column 4, lines 56 – 61).

Regarding claim 18, Bhatia teaches a method as claimed in claim 17, wherein said list of computer names declared on the LAN is automatically built using packets of information sent by stations on said LAN using NetBIOS Over IP protocol in which said station name and IP address is available (Column 4, lines 56 – 61; Column 6, lines 20 – 23).

Regarding claims 11 and 21, Bhatia the device according to claims 1 and 13, wherein said external DNS is one of a group of external DNS (Column 6, lines 5 – 6).

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Bhatia teaches a network modem device as claimed in claims 2 and 13.

Bhatia does not explicitly indicate that said list is a list of domain names lookedup on the external DNS, and said DNS relay module automatically adds to said lift of domain names looked-up on the external DNS, an entry corresponding to said reply from said external DNS.

Allard teaches a network device that connects a LAN and remote network which includes a proxy name cache (Figure 2, elements 14 and 54). The network device includes a DNS Proxy name cache that maintains a list of domain names looked up on an external DNS corresponding attribute data and said DNS relay module automatically adds to said list of domain names looked-up on the external DNS, an entry corresponding to said reply from said external DNS (Column 16, line 64 – Column 7, line 5; lines 8 – 15).

Art Unit: 2155

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Allard's teaching in Bhatia's system in order to allow Bhatia's system to allow the system to reduce the number of times the network device needs to query the name server (Column 8, lines 41 - 49).

Regarding claims 12 and 22, Bhatia teaches the device as claimed in claims 1 and 13.

Bhatia does not explicitly indicate said list of domain names and attribute date has an expiry date and time, and said DNS relay module comprises a mechanism for requesting from an external DNS a newly fetched numeric address for said domain name when a next request for said domain name will be received, for restoring said newly fetched numeric address as the attribute data for said domain name In said list and for refreshing said expiry date and time

Allard teaches that said list of domain names and attribute date has an expiry date and time, and said DNS relay module comprises a mechanism for requesting from an external DNS a newly fetched numeric address for said domain name when a next request for said domain name will be received, for restoring said newly fetched numeric address as the attribute data for said domain name In said list and for refreshing said expiry date and time (Column 9, lines 21 – 36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Allard's teaching in Bhatia's system in order to allow Bhatia's system to allow the system to reduce the number of times the network device needs to query the name server (Column 8, lines 41 - 49).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the reference, Bhatia does not disclose a list which is used to respond to DNS requests. The examiner disagrees, in Column 5, line 66 – Column 6, line 8, the reference Bhatia discloses a list of providers, ISPs and other host names to use in order to respond with address information, while the reference does not disclose a list of domain names previously searched for, it does have a list of domain names and host names and uses them to respond to requests.

The applicant also argues that the reference Allard is non-analogous, that the cache is operating differently than the same invention by not returning address information to the requesting node when the DNS is accessed. The examiner disagrees, the reference, Allard, is being relied upon to improve the DNS cache storage in the reference Bhatia. In Bhatia, the device checks the local static cache to see if it can handle the DNS request, if not it accesses a remote server to resolve the request and return that result to the local node (Column 6, lines 1 – 29), what Bhatia lacks is an idea of updating the DNS based upon the requests in which it has resolved. Allard discloses a network device that resolves DNS request from local nodes, which is analogous to the function that the device in Bhatia is performing, with the added feature of updating a local DNS cache based upon DNS request that is used to a name server, which is not part of the network proxy device (Column 16, line 64 – Column 17, line 5),

Art Unit: 2155

where the motivation to combine this feature of the device Allard to the network device in Bhatia would improve the number of time they have to access the name server or DNS server (Column 8, lines 41 - 49) and reduce the process of entering data into a static DNS (Column 16, lines 16 - 40).

Page 10

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KB

KB March 7, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER